

Beyond the Demographic Cliff: Workforce Trends and Economic Adaptation in Hyper-Aged Korea

By Darcie Draudt-Véjares

South Korea's fertility rate has plummeted to an unprecedented global low of 0.7 children per woman, pushing the country closer to what demographers have ominously termed the "population cliff." This demographic free-fall—from six children per woman in the 1960s to today's sub-replacement levels—has triggered consecutive years of population decline since 2021 and portends a future where innovative economic adaptation becomes not merely advantageous but existential.¹ By 2040, the working-age population will shrink from 72 percent to 56 percent of the total population, creating profound implications for everything from pension sustainability to housing markets to industrial policy. Unlike previous economic challenges the country has overcome, the demographic decline cannot be reversed in the short term, making technological innovation and structural economic reform essential levers available for sustaining prosperity.

South Korea's demographic transformation is particularly striking in its speed and severity. In 2024, the country reached the threshold of a "super-aged society" with over 20 percent of its population above sixty-five years old—a transition that took France 175 years but South Korea less than three decades.² Government projections indicate that by 2070, the total population will shrink 27 percent (to around 37 million), and those over sixty-five will constitute over 46 percent of the population, creating an inverted population pyramid unprecedented in modern history.³ This aging trajectory exceeds even that of Japan, which competes with South Korea for the claim of fastest-aging society and currently leads the world with 28 percent of its population over sixty-five.⁴

According to the OECD, South Korea's elderly dependency ratio alone is projected to grow faster than any other country: from 28 percent in 2024 to 155 percent in the 2080s.⁵ This foretells a particularly acute problem not just of worker shortages but a skew toward the elderly population (ages sixty-five and older), which puts different dependency concerns on the policy agenda. The core working-age population (ages fifteen to sixty-four) is expected to decrease from approximately 37.6 million in 2016 to just 25.9 million by 2050—a striking 31 percent reduction.⁶ This demographic contraction will fundamentally alter the composition of South Korea's workforce, with the proportion of older workers increasing substantially.

While South Korea is not the only advanced economy facing demographic challenges, several factors set it apart from other countries. Unlike traditional immigrant-receiving countries that offset demographic decline, like Germany (over 18 percent foreign-born population) or the United States (14.3 percent foreign-born), South Korea has maintained one of the lowest immigration rates among developed economies, with non-citizens comprising just over 5 percent of the total population.⁷ That said, the number of immigrants is slowly rising, but largely in temporary, low-skilled migrant labor and international students,

Dr. Darcie Draudt-Véjares is a Fellow for Korea Studies at the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace in Washington, DC.

who tend to return to their home countries.⁸ Similarly, while southern European nations like Greece, Italy, Portugal, and Spain face comparable fertility challenges, their demographic transitions have been more gradual, allowing for incremental policy adaptations.⁹ Latin American countries experiencing fertility declines still maintain younger population profiles, with the most quickly aging countries of Argentina, Chile, Cuba, and Uruguay not projected to reach South Korea's current aging levels until the 2040s.¹⁰

South Korea's demographic crisis has deepened over the past two decades, pushing the issue to the political agenda. In 2005, the government established the Presidential Committee on Aging Society and Population Policy—a body led directly by the president and comprising multiple ministers and experts—alongside the National Assembly enacting the *Framework Act on Low Birth Rate in an Aging Society*.¹¹ This institutional architecture has overseen four consecutive five-year basic plans and approximately KRW 280 trillion (roughly USD 10 billion) in spending to reverse the fertility decline.¹² Yet, these efforts have failed to produce meaningful results, with the country's total fertility rate plummeting to a record low of 0.72 in 2023, far below the replacement level of 2.1 and even lower than when interventions began.¹³

As a whole, these tactics—small-scale and temporary immigration, pronatalist family welfare programs, and gender-sensitive employment policies—largely aim to increase the working-age population by boosting fertility rates or marginally expanding the labor force. But the dominant framing of South Korea's demographic change as a looming “population cliff” (*ingu jeolbyeok*)—an irreversible economic death sentence—obscures a more transformative imperative: the need to fundamentally realign economic structures with demographic realities.

Rather than treating demographic decline solely as a crisis to be averted, South Korea should reframe it as a generational opportunity: a chance to transition toward a new model of economic development and social organization. This paper adopts that approach. Rather than asking how society must adapt to existing economic systems, it interrogates how South Korea's economic systems must adapt to enduring demographic realities. The current policy paradigm, focused on delaying decline rather than redesigning systems, is unlikely to sustain the productivity levels necessary for the country's long-term economic well-being—from labor-intensive export sectors to tax bases essential for defense, welfare, and education.

The good news is that South Korea is well-positioned to counter the effects of a hyper-aged society by leaning on its strong technological and industrial base and legacies of social embeddedness and adopting economic policies tailored to demographic realities.¹⁴ The analysis that follows argues for a two-track approach that prioritizes (1) technology-driven productivity enhancement to enable a smaller workforce for maintaining economic output and (2) structural economic reforms necessary to optimize capital allocation, labor utilization, and financial systems in a hyper-aged society.

Demographic Structure and National Economic Well-being

South Korea's postwar economic transformation was built on a foundation of demographic strength. From the 1960s through the 1990s, the country benefited from what economists call the “demographic dividend.” First formalized by researchers at the World Bank and later expanded by the UN Population

Division, the concept of a demographic dividend refers to a temporary economic boost that arises when the share of the working-age population increases relative to dependents, provided that complementary investments in education, health, employment, and governance are made.¹⁵

Cross-national evidence demonstrates that population dynamics, particularly shifts in age structure, played a major role in East Asia's rapid economic growth. Unlike traditional Neo-Malthusian concerns focused on absolute population numbers or resource limits, contemporary demographic scholarship emphasizes the role of age structure in shaping developmental trajectories and competition over resources.¹⁶ Notably, Professors David Bloom and Jeffrey Williamson estimate that demographic change alone accounted for one-third to one-half of the so-called "East Asian Miracle," during which the region's GDP per capita grew by about 6 percent annually between 1965 and 1990.¹⁷ Countries like Singapore and Thailand, alongside South Korea, saw particularly high growth due in part to favorable age structures combined with state-led investments in human capital and export-led industrial strategies.

In South Korea's case, this dividend was especially pronounced, overlapping with the country's era of rapid industrialization and developmental state expansion. While much of the literature on South Korea's economic takeoff focuses on industrial policy and state-business coordination, favorable demographic trends created the social conditions for high national savings rates, rising labor force participation, and large-scale investments in education and infrastructure. Between 1960 and 2000, South Korea's changing age structure—fewer children and relatively more working-age adults—meant that each person (after accounting for how many non-working dependents each worker supported) saw, on average, about 34 percent more GDP per capita. This was one of the highest contributions of the demographic dividend among East Asian economies.¹⁸

This demographic dividend led to economies of scale in education and production and facilitated social mobility through mass employment and rising household incomes. It was during this window that South Korea transitioned from a lower-middle-income country to a high-income economy, laying the groundwork for what is often termed the "Miracle on the Han River." However, this societal advantage has now ended—and without structural reforms, it may become a financial liability.

South Korea's transition to a hyper-aged society can be better understood in a comparative context with other advanced economies that have undergone or are undergoing similar demographic shifts. Japan, which became the world's first "super-aged" society in 2007, offers a particularly instructive example for South Korea, both in terms of policy innovation and the risks of delayed adaptation. While Japan has sustained relatively high living standards through automation, eldercare technology, and industrial upgrading, it also faces persistent challenges such as regional decline, public debt accumulation, and intergenerational inequality.¹⁹

What distinguishes South Korea's demographic challenge from other aging societies is not merely the scope but the unprecedented velocity of this transition. The demographic aging process that required more than a century and a half in France—progressing from 7 percent to 20 percent of the population being sixty-five or older—will be compressed into just twenty-six years in South Korea. This represents a demographic transformation rate even faster than Japan's already rapid thirty-six-year transition to super-aged status.²⁰ This compressed timeframe gives South Korean policymakers and institutions

far less time to adapt their economic and social systems to accommodate such profound structural change, creating urgency for forward-looking policies that can maintain economic dynamism with a fundamentally different population structure.

Just as South Korea leveraged its demographic dividend to fuel industrialization in the twentieth century, it must now leverage technological innovation and structural reform to adapt to demographic maturity in the twenty-first century. The question is not whether the country's workforce will shrink and age—this outcome is demographically inevitable—but how its economic institutions and systems can evolve to maintain prosperity with a fundamentally different population structure.

Workforce Restructuring in a Hyper-Aged Society

South Korea's transition to a hyper-aged society is fundamentally transforming its workforce structure across three critical dimensions—sectoral composition, regional distribution, and gender participation. Understanding how these dimensions interact with population aging is essential for developing economic adaptations that align with demographic realities rather than resisting them.

The first dimension, sectoral transformation in a hyper-aged economy, is driven by population decline, creating both vulnerabilities and opportunities that demand strategic adaptation. Traditional sectors with aging workforces face profound challenges as retirement rates accelerate and replacement becomes difficult. Analysis for Bank of Korea shows that sectors already experiencing significant employment declines between 2010 and 2019—such as agriculture/forestry/fishing (-35.3 percent), public administration (-22.6 percent), and wholesale/retail trade (-12.0 percent)—simultaneously maintain the highest concentration of elderly workers.²¹ Most notably, nearly one-quarter of public administration workers and two-thirds of agriculture and fisheries workers were elderly as of 2009. As these elderly-dominated sectors continue to lose workers to retirement, their labor shortages will intensify, absent a dramatic reorientation toward technological augmentation or process redesign.

In contrast, sectors experiencing employment growth amid demographic aging reveal the emergence of new economic drivers better aligned with an older population structure. Health and social work saw 50 percent employment growth during the 2010s, with less than 9 percent being elderly workers, while science and technology grew 22 percent, with only 6.3 percent elderly workers.²² These growth sectors simultaneously address the needs of an aging population (healthcare) and leverage technology to enhance productivity with fewer workers. The demographic aging process is thus accelerating a sectoral shift from labor-intensive, physically demanding industries toward knowledge-intensive and care-oriented services that can better accommodate an older society.

The broader industrial restructuring due to population aging has driven “a decrease in the proportion of employment in occupations such as machine operation and assembly and skilled positions” since the mid-2010s.²³ The manufacturing, retail, transportation, and storage sectors experienced slowdowns or declines in relative employment during this period, partly because aging workforces in these sectors interacted with automation, online transactions, and offshoring to accelerate employment contraction.²⁴ This trajectory illustrates how demographic aging necessitates economic adaptation—industries with

aging workforces must either transform through technology or contract as retirement rates outpace replacement.

The service sector, meanwhile, has demonstrated greater resilience to demographic aging and offers a model for economic adaptation. Professors Daron Acemoglu, Nicolaj Søndergaard Mühlbach, and Andrew J. Scott identify the emergence of “age-friendly jobs” concentrated in service industries with low physical intensity and flexible work arrangements—characteristics that make them particularly suitable for an aging workforce.²⁵ Data on South Korea’s labor market supports this analysis, showing that service sectors have been more successful at integrating and retaining older workers, especially women.²⁶ As Korea Capital Market Institute’s Research Fellow Hyunju Kang estimates, absent productivity gains, demographic aging could reduce South Korea’s potential growth by 1 percentage point every three years.²⁷ These sectoral patterns highlight how South Korea’s economic structures must evolve to align with its changing demographic profile, emphasizing industries and work arrangements compatible with an older labor force.

The second workforce restructuring in hyper-aging South Korea manifests in stark regional variations that require differentiated economic strategies adapted to local demographic realities. By 2020, sixteen rural regions reached “super-aged” status (more than 21 percent over the age of sixty-five), with South Jeolla, South Chungcheong, and South Gyeongsang provinces experiencing the most advanced aging.²⁸ This regional disparity reflects decades of youth migration to larger metropolitan areas, leaving rural economies with severely aged workforces. Yet, urban centers are rapidly catching up—Busan became super-aged in 2023, followed by Daejeon, Daegu, and Gwangju in 2024, and Seoul, Incheon, and Ulsan are projected to cross this threshold by 2026. By 2029, every region in South Korea will have a super-aged population structure, with the newly founded administrative capital of Sejong being the last to transition.²⁹

The pace of aging across regions reveals a counterintuitive pattern with significant implications for regional economic adaptation strategies. Sejong, currently South Korea’s youngest region, will experience the most dramatic acceleration of aging through 2050, while rural areas that are already heavily aged will see slower progression.³⁰ This inverted pattern stems from rural regions having already depleted their demographic reserves for continued aging. For economic and workforce development policy, this means urban and rural areas require fundamentally different adaptation approaches aligned with their specific demographic trajectories. Urban areas must prepare for rapid workforce aging, while rural regions must design economic systems sustainable with permanently older, shrinking populations.

Traditional economic development approaches focused on attracting young workers to aging regions are increasingly futile. As Korea University Professors Kee Whan Kim and Oh Seok Kim note, “fertility-focused policies that might benefit urban areas are unlikely to succeed in rural regions where the demographic foundation for population regeneration has already eroded.”³¹ Instead, rural economic adaptation must embrace hyper-aged demographics as a permanent condition, focusing on age-appropriate industries, technology-augmented agriculture, and service models designed for elderly populations. In fact, part of the employment decline in agricultural sectors may already be caused by automation and other machine advances.³² Additional evidence suggests that as older farmers retire,

larger, more capital-intensive farms consolidate their land, boosting productivity.³³ The dramatic regional variation in aging trajectories highlights the need for economic strategies tailored to local demographic realities rather than a one-size-fits-all national policy.

A third product of South Korea's workforce restructuring concerns gender-differentiated aging. South Korea's workforce is diving sharply across gender lines, creating a feminization of older labor that demands gender-sensitive economic adaptation. Women under sixty-five have shown remarkable growth in workforce participation as the population ages, driven by educational advancement and the expansion of service sectors compatible with female employment. This trend is especially pronounced among female baby boomers born after the Korean War (1955 to 1974), who possess both improved educational credentials and strong motivation for economic activity.³⁴ Educational attainment has become a critical determinant in older female workforce participation, with highly educated women in their fifties and sixties consistently increasing their labor force participation while less-educated counterparts experienced stagnation since the mid-2010s.³⁵

In stark contrast, aging has driven declining labor force participation among men, particularly in traditional industries unsuited for an older workforce. Since the mid-2010s, labor force participation among men under sixty-five has stagnated or declined as demographic aging has progressed.³⁶ This gender divergence largely stems from occupational segregation, with older men disproportionately concentrated in industries vulnerable to both technological disruption and physical limitations associated with aging—manufacturing, construction, and transportation. Thus, population aging both reveals and exacerbates underlying structural mismatches between traditionally male-dominated sectors and the capabilities of an older workforce, forcing many men to involuntarily and prematurely exit their primary occupations.

These gender-differentiated impacts of workforce aging carry profound economic implications. Projections suggest continued growth in female labor force participation in a hyper-aged South Korea, while men face declining employment prospects unless significant economic restructuring occurs.³⁷ This pattern represents both a challenge and an opportunity for adapting to demographic aging: female labor participation offers a partial offset to workforce contraction but requires economic policies that explicitly support women's continued employment through flexible work arrangements, elder care services, and the elimination of age- and gender-based discrimination. Meanwhile, industries employing primarily older men must undergo technological transformation to accommodate age-related physical limitations or risk continued decline.

Together, these sectoral, regional, and gender dimensions of workforce aging demonstrate that South Korea's economic adaptation must be multifaceted and targeted rather than generic. Each dimension reveals how population aging necessitates fundamental economic restructuring rather than marginal adjustments to existing systems. Attempts to maintain traditional economic structures in the face of these demographic shifts will likely accelerate the decline, while strategies that align economic activities with the realities of a hyper-aged society offer sustainable paths forward.

Economic Adaptation Strategies for a Hyper-Aged Society

South Korea's demographic transition demands a comprehensive redesign of economic structures to align with the realities of a hyper-aged society. Rather than attempting to reverse population aging—a goal that has proven elusive despite substantial financial and programmatic investment—South Korea must develop strategies that can sustain prosperity with a fundamentally smaller and older workforce. This requires moving beyond traditional growth models based on labor inputs and toward systems that maximize productivity and optimize resource allocation within demographic constraints.

Between 2016 and 2050, the core working-age population (ages fifteen to sixty-four) is projected to fall by 31 percent—from 37.6 million to 25.9 million—while the share of older workers (ages fifty-five to sixty-four) is expected to rise from 19.7 percent to 26.7 percent.³⁸ This means a growing proportion of South Korea's labor force will fall into age cohorts typically associated with declining physical productivity and reduced capacity for upskilling or occupational transition. As discussed in the previous section, these challenges are compounded by sectoral and skill mismatches: aging male workers are often concentrated in declining or automating industries like agriculture and manufacturing, while employment growth has been strongest in younger, more female-dominated sectors such as healthcare and IT, indicating a partial demographic and gendered restructuring of the economy.

Yet, South Korea's demographic trajectory also opens new economic horizons. While the dual pressures of a shrinking labor supply and an aging workforce are already constraining the country's economic productivity—especially in labor-intensive sectors—they also create incentives for capital deepening and automation, which, if properly channeled, can raise labor productivity. South Korea already has one of the highest rates of investment in industrial robots, with annual robot sales more than quadrupling from about 7,000 units (2000–2007) to 30,000 units (2010–2018) and achieving a robot density of 77.4 installations per 1,000 manufacturing workers in 2018—second only to Singapore.³⁹ The growing “silver economy”—the aggregate market and productivity potential tied to aging populations—offers opportunities for innovation in healthcare, care work, age tech, and senior-friendly industries. Nearby Japan, which competes with South Korea for the “most quickly aging society” title, has begun turning longevity into a growth engine.⁴⁰

However, in South Korea, efforts to enter promising senior-focused markets remain largely ad hoc: chaebols and startups alike will explore aging-related niches on their own, but without an overarching government policy framework or strategic plan, these initiatives tend to be fragmented and under-resourced.⁴¹ A targeted industrial policy could signal that the silver economy is a strategic priority by offering research and development (R&D) grants for age-tech, tax incentives for eldercare innovations, and streamlined regulatory pathways for assistive devices. Establishing dedicated innovation clusters—where firms share infrastructure like testing centers and data platforms—would reduce duplication and lower entry barriers. Public-private partnerships between universities, research institutes, and industries could pilot aging-friendly technologies in real-world settings, mitigating market risks. By aligning subsidies and setting clear performance benchmarks, industrial policy can transform piecemeal efforts into a cohesive ecosystem that drives scale, innovation, and cost reduction. Unlocking productivity

in a hyper-aged society will require reframing aging as not just a fiscal burden but also a platform for industrial adaptation and strategic investment.

As South Korea's working-age population shrinks and its demographic profile skews increasingly older, the capital-labor ratio—the amount of capital available per worker—is expected to rise significantly. In theory, a higher capital-labor ratio can help sustain labor productivity by compensating for reduced labor inputs through greater use of advanced equipment, automation, and process innovation.⁴² For a hyper-aged society like South Korea, capital deepening is not only inevitable but essential.

However, its effectiveness hinges on how both private investors and public policy guide capital toward high-growth, technology-intensive sectors. As Kang notes, if investment continues to flow into low-growth or capital-saturated industries—such as traditional real estate or low-productivity service sectors—South Korea will face diminishing marginal returns on capital, potentially further eroding its GDP growth rate after 2035.⁴³ Researchers at the Korean Capital Market Institute similarly stress that future investments must prioritize sectors capable of absorbing capital efficiently, such as AI-powered manufacturing, digital healthcare, and age-tech industries tailored to an older consumer base.⁴⁴ Building on the legacy of government-guided initiatives—such as the continued impact of investments in an era of human-computer interaction (HCI)—future policy measures should align incentives so that private capital and chaebol investment flow into AI-powered manufacturing, digital healthcare, and age-tech industries tailored to an older consumer base, rather than into already saturated or low-productivity areas.

These dynamics underscore the urgent need for South Korea to reorient its economic strategy away from past growth formulas rooted in labor-intensive production and speculative asset bubbles. Simply injecting more capital into a shrinking and aging labor force will not produce sustainable growth unless accompanied by systemic reforms that channel investments into productivity-enhancing sectors aligned with South Korea's demographic trajectory.

Household Finance and Pension Reform

Demographic aging is driving a profound shift in the structure of household income and wealth. The composition of national income is moving from earned wages to asset-based income—such as pensions, interest, and dividends—as older adults exit the labor force. This shift presents both macroeconomic and microeconomic challenges. At the household level, elderly households show strong preferences for low-risk, illiquid assets—particularly residential real estate—over higher-return financial instruments such as equities or mutual funds. In 2021, more than 75 percent of net wealth among elderly households was held in real estate, while capital market assets (stocks, bonds, and funds) comprised less than 8 percent of their financial portfolios.⁴⁵

This imbalance in asset allocation not only undermines long-term retirement income security but also distorts national investment patterns. The underdevelopment of South Korea's private pension system and weak capital market participation among the elderly limit the pool of domestic capital available for financing innovation and productivity-enhancing sectors. The story is similar in countries with political economies as varied as China, the United States, and Greece, where misaligned pension structures and

low elderly engagement in capital markets likewise constrain savings for growth-oriented investment.⁴⁶ While younger generations briefly increased stock market activity during the COVID-19 pandemic, structural barriers—such as low financial literacy, poor corporate governance, and lack of trust in capital markets—continue to depress sustained household investment in equities or annuitized pension products.⁴⁷

These trends are exacerbated by the structure and sustainability of South Korea's public pension system. The National Pension Service (NPS), a central pillar of the country's retirement security framework, faces acute fiscal stress as the contributor-to-beneficiary ratio declines. This issue is especially salient because elderly poverty hovers around 40 percent—one of the highest rates in the OECD.⁴⁸ A long battle for pension reform culminated in March 2025 when the National Assembly passed a revision to the National Pension Act, marking the first increase in contribution rates in twenty-eight years. Under the new “pay more, receive more” scheme, contribution rates will rise by 0.5 percentage points annually from 9 percent in 2025 to 13 percent by 2033, while the nominal income replacement rate will increase to 43 percent beginning next year.⁴⁹ The bipartisan compromise also modestly increased the income replacement rate and introduced new noncontributory credit periods for first-time parents and military service members. Despite these advances, critics noted the reform package lacked an automatic balancing mechanism tied to life expectancy—highlighting ongoing political sensitivities around deeper structural changes.⁵⁰

In short, South Korea's rising capital-labor ratio presents both a structural necessity and a potential opportunity. But capital deepening will only translate into sustained economic resilience if paired with structural reforms. These must include improved capital market access and participation across generations, modernization of financial systems to support long-term investment vehicles, and strengthened private and occupational pension systems. Without such reforms, South Korea risks entering a demographic trap in which capital accumulation fails to generate meaningful productivity gains, and fiscal pressures erode public trust and macroeconomic stability.

Technological Innovation and the Silver Economy

Alongside capital deepening, South Korea's advantage in technological innovation offers another critical—yet underleveraged—avenue for adapting to a hyper-aged society. The country consistently ranks among the world's most automated economies, with high deployments of industrial robots in manufacturing sectors—significantly above the global average.⁵¹ While these technologies have historically displaced some older male workers in manufacturing, they also open space for a workforce transition toward service-oriented and digitally mediated roles that are more age-inclusive. In this context, the expansion of South Korea's service sector—particularly in healthcare, caregiving, and interpersonal services—offers a promising avenue for integrating older workers, especially women, into a more resilient and demographically adaptive economy. In short, the existing technological foundation could be leveraged to offset labor force contraction through strategically automating physically demanding tasks, particularly in industries with aging workforces.

Beyond traditional automation, South Korea's strengths in AI and digital infrastructure create opportunities for productivity enhancement that transcend demographic constraints. Advances in AI-assisted design,

predictive maintenance, and process optimization could enable smaller workforces to maintain or even increase output levels. Economic analysis suggests that comprehensive digital transformation across key industrial sectors could potentially offset a significant portion of the projected productivity losses from demographic decline.⁵²

The emerging “silver tech” sector represents a promising frontier in addressing the dual challenge of population aging and economic growth. Technologies specifically designed for older adults, from assistive devices to telemedicine platforms, not only respond to mounting healthcare needs but also open new avenues for industrial innovation and global competitiveness. Japan and Finland—countries with similar political economies and aging societies—have cultivated robust silver-tech ecosystems that serve aging populations in sectors such as home care and health services.⁵³ South Korea’s strong technological capabilities and industrial base make it well-positioned to become a global leader in this space, transforming demographic pressures into strategic economic advantage.

To fully realize the potential of silver tech, increasing the inclusion of older adults in digital transformation efforts is essential. Despite their slower uptake of new technologies, older workers possess valuable experience that, when paired with targeted digital training and support, could enhance productivity and strengthen the competitiveness of South Korean firms.⁵⁴ Recognizing older adults not as digital liabilities but as active participants in innovation ecosystems will be critical to unlocking this opportunity.

Yet, technological adaptation across the broader economy faces significant barriers as well. Small and medium enterprises (SMEs)—which employ over 80 percent of South Korea’s workforce—lag behind large conglomerates in adopting digital tools and automation.⁵⁵ While public-private initiatives like the Ministry of SMEs and Startups’ Win-Win Smart Factory Support Program for Large Enterprises and SMEs have enabled over 5,500 SMEs to adopt digital tools since 2018, broader uptake of technology across SMEs remains slow.⁵⁶ This limited diffusion is especially concerning in the context of South Korea’s rapidly aging workforce. Many SMEs, particularly in manufacturing, depend heavily on older workers yet lack the resources or strategies to integrate them into digital innovation.⁵⁷ Without targeted efforts to reskill senior employees and redesign workflows to suit an aging labor force, SMEs risk falling further behind—both technologically and demographically.

Furthermore, technological solutions must be implemented with careful attention to their social impacts. Automated systems designed without consideration for older users’ needs may inadvertently exclude them from economic participation. South Korean government data confirms this digital divide, showing that while elderly citizens made steady progress in digital adoption between 2019 and 2021 (reaching 69.1 percent on digital inclusion metrics), they continued to lag significantly behind other demographic groups, including persons with disabilities (81.7 percent), rural residents (78.1 percent), and low-income households (95.4 percent).⁵⁸ Bridging these gaps will require targeted technology literacy programs and inclusive design approaches that ensure technology enhances rather than diminishes older adults’ economic engagement.

Workforce Policy Framework for Demographic Adaptation

South Korea cannot resolve its demographic challenges through short-term fixes. As shown above, the country's demographic structure has several interactions at the industrial, regional, and gender levels. Thus, policymakers must develop comprehensive strategies that address both immediate workforce needs and long-term structural economic transformations while navigating complex political realities. Based on the analysis presented, several key policy directions emerge for South Korea's adaptation to a hyper-aged society.

The first is that South Korea must accelerate its transition toward a more technology-intensive economy while addressing adoption barriers. The country's strong technological foundation provides a crucial advantage, but current innovation patterns remain concentrated in traditional export sectors and face resistance among older populations. Policies should encourage the development of age-tech solutions that complement rather than replace human care, ensuring technology augments older workers' capabilities instead of displacing them. Implementation strategies should include dedicated digital literacy programs targeting the significant educational divide between younger and older generations.

The government should expand public-private partnerships like the smart factory program to reach more SMEs, particularly in sectors with aging workforces. These programs should emphasize not only technological adoption but also workforce integration, helping companies redesign workflows and training systems to accommodate older employees. Sector-specific technology roadmaps should identify automation priorities that would maximize productivity gains while creating new roles suitable for an aging workforce. Future research should explore both the logistical and political dynamics of technology adoption among different demographic groups and regions to identify potential coalition-building opportunities.

Second, South Korea must fundamentally reform its financial systems to support economic security in an aging society, ensuring that these reforms fairly balance the needs of both younger and older generations. The current heavy reliance on real estate as a retirement vehicle creates systemic vulnerabilities, from excessive household debt to capital misallocation. Pension reforms should be structured with transparent burden-sharing between generations, potentially including grandfather clauses for those near retirement while establishing new parameters for younger workers. If South Korea follows how Nordic countries have updated their pension systems—making modest, predictable tweaks rather than big, sudden cuts—it could start factoring in longer lifespans when calculating how big each retiree's check should be. At the same time, it could protect people who are already retired by preserving their current payment levels and only applying the new life-expectancy adjustments to future retirees or younger workers.⁵⁹

Beyond pension reform, policies should address the overconcentration of elderly wealth in real estate. This could include tax incentives for diversification into productive financial assets, expansion of reverse mortgage programs with capital market linkages, and the expansion of long-term care insurance markets that reduce precautionary savings motives. Financial literacy programs specifically targeted at pre-retirees could help shift asset allocation patterns toward investments that better support both individual security and broader economic productivity.

Third, South Korea must reconsider its approach to migration policy. While large-scale immigration remains politically and logistically challenging, incremental reforms to the current Employment Permit System (EPS) could gradually build acceptance for greater workforce integration. Recent debates over foreign caregiver programs highlight this complexity, as seen in the controversial 2024 pilot project for foreign caregivers in Seoul, which faced criticism from the public for primarily benefiting affluent districts while raising concerns about potential increases in undocumented migrants if minimum wage requirements were adjusted.⁶⁰ As advanced Asian economies face labor shortages driven by declining birthrates, South Korea will need to adopt more attractive policies to secure much-needed workers in demanding sectors.

The South Korean government could modify the EPS system to allow for longer residency periods, improved skills development, and clearer pathways to permanent residence and family reunification for select workers, starting with sectors facing critical labor shortages. Future migration initiatives should emphasize broad-based economic benefits and strategic workforce integration rather than short-term labor gap-filling, helping to build public support for incremental policy changes.

A fourth policy dimension is gender-based disparities in labor force participation. Policies should support continued growth for female workforce participation through improved work-family balance measures while also addressing the specific vulnerabilities of older male workers displaced from traditional sectors.

For women, particularly those with higher education levels, policies should focus on removing barriers to continued participation, including flexible work arrangements, improved childcare access, and the elimination of workplace discrimination. For men displaced from traditional industries, targeted retraining programs should focus on transferring skills to growing sectors like healthcare, technology services, and specialized manufacturing. Educational programs that facilitate mid-career transitions could help bridge these gender gaps and strengthen overall workforce resilience. The constraints of this study preclude a deeper examination of gendered political dynamics in hyper-aged societies, highlighting an important area for subsequent research.

Fifth, regional disparities in aging require differentiated policy approaches. For urban areas still experiencing population growth, policies should focus on housing affordability, transportation systems, and family-friendly labor policies to support growing families. In rural areas facing advanced aging, both national and local governments should play a proactive, responsive role by supporting private-sector innovations through targeted industrial policies—such as centrally funded subsidies, local tax incentives, and region-specific R&D grants—alongside infrastructure improvements and vocational training programs. This approach enables firms and entrepreneurs to identify and invest in sectors suited to an older workforce, including agricultural technology, specialized tourism, remote-work services, or elder-care innovation. By partnering with community groups and local research institutions, these efforts leverage existing resources and build on long-standing state-private collaboration.

Finally, and perhaps most difficult, South Korea should rethink its approach to economic measurement in a hyper-aged society. Traditional GDP growth may become a less relevant metric than measures of productivity, well-being, and sustainability. Policy success should be evaluated against metrics that reflect quality of life across generations rather than solely focusing on aggregate output expansion.

An important question not addressed in this analysis concerns the political acceptance of alternative economic metrics and indicators of national well-being—a topic that deserves systematic investigation in its own right.

Conclusion

South Korea stands at a demographic crossroads that will fundamentally reshape its economic future. The unprecedented speed and scale of population aging—from one of the world’s youngest societies to potentially its oldest in a single lifetime—presents challenges that conventional policy approaches to reversing demographic trends cannot resolve. Instead, this paper has argued for reconceptualizing demographic aging as a catalyst for necessary economic transformation—an opportunity to develop systems better aligned with South Korea’s inevitable demographic future.

The key insight emerging from this analysis is that South Korea’s economic structures must adapt to demographic realities rather than expecting demographic patterns to conform to existing economic models. Attempts to boost fertility rates or marginally expand immigration have failed to meaningfully address the fundamental mismatch between the country’s aging population structure and its economic systems designed for a younger, expanding workforce.

South Korea’s economic future in a hyper-aged society depends not merely on how many workers it has but on transforming how those workers contribute to economic output. This requires sophisticated capital allocation, technological augmentation of labor, financial system modernization, and gender-responsive policies that maximize economic participation within demographic constraints. Sectoral restructuring—already underway in manufacturing, services, and the care economy—combines automation, human-capital investments, and incentive realignment to lay the groundwork for a productive, resilient, and equitable system. Policymakers must embrace a long-term vision that puts demographic transformation at the center of growth strategy rather than treating it as an afterthought. Only by reorienting economic structures to fit an aging society can South Korea secure sustainable prosperity and social cohesion for generations to come.

Endnotes

¹ Han-joo Kim, “Childbirths in S. Korea Rebound for 1st Time in 9 Yrs in 2024 on Post-Pandemic Weddings,” *Yonhap News Agency*, February 26, 2025, <https://en.yna.co.kr/view/AEN20250226003500320>.

² Jung-joo Lee, “South Korea Now Officially ‘super-Aged’ Society,” *The Korea Herald*, December 24, 2024, <https://www.koreaherald.com/article/10022641>; Brigitte Miksa, “What Are the Economic Consequences of Rapidly Ageing Populations,” *World Economic Forum*, August 27, 2015, <https://www.weforum.org/stories/2015/08/what-are-the-economic-consequences-of-rapidly-ageing-populations/>.

³ Jung Min-kyung, “Envisioning Korea in 2070 in births, deaths, marriages and immigration,” *The Korea Herald*, March 11, 2023, <https://www.koreaherald.com/article/3078427>.

⁴ “Countries With the Oldest Populations in the World,” Population Reference Bureau, accessed March 27, 2025, <https://www.prb.org/resources/countries-with-the-oldest-populations-in-the-world/>.

⁵ OECD, *OECD Economic Surveys: Korea 2024* (OECD Publishing, 2024), <https://doi.org/10.1787/c243e16a-en>.

⁶ Chulhee Lee and Jieun Lee, “인구고령화가 노동수급에 미치는 영향 [The Outlook and Challenges of Population Aging and Labor Market],” *Bank of Korea Economic Review* 23, no. 4 (2017): 2, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3055586>.

⁷ “Germany: Immigrants Made up over 18% of 2022 Population,” *Deutsche Welle*, April 20, 2023, <https://www.dw.com/en/germany-immigrants-made-up-over-18-of-2022-population/a-65383249>; “New Americans in the United States,” *American Immigration Council*, 2023, <https://map.americanimmigrationcouncil.org/locations/national/>; Si-jin Lee, “Foreign Nationals Surpass 5% of Population: Justice Ministry,” *The Korea Herald*, March 3, 2025, <https://www.koreaherald.com/article/10432220>.

⁸ “Long-Term Foreign Residents Increase to Record High of about 2.5 Mil.,” *The Korea Times*, October 24, 2024, https://www.koreatimes.co.kr/www/nation/2025/03/281_384903.html.

⁹ “Ageing Europe - Statistics on Population Developments,” Eurostat, updated February 2024, https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Ageing_Europe_-_statistics_on_population_developments.

¹⁰ *Aging in Latin America and the Caribbean from the Perspective of National Transfer Accounts* (Pan American Health Organization and the Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.37774/9789275127247>.

¹¹ “저출산 고령사회위원회 [Presidential Committee on Low Fertility and Aging Society],” National Archives of Korea, accessed March 28, 2025, <https://theme.archives.go.kr/next/populationPolicy/issue08.do>; “저출산·고령사회기본법 [Framework Act on Low Birth Rate in an Aging Society],” Act No. 7496 § Republic of Korea National Assembly (2005), <https://www.law.go.kr/lsSc.do?section=&menuId=1&subMenuId=15&tabMenuId=81&eventGubun=060101&query=저출산·고령사회기본법#undefined>.

¹² Ha-yan Choi, Tae-ho Ahn, and Jong-o Park, “Cash incentives prove ineffective at remedying real causes of low birth rate: long working hours, gender inequality,” *Hankyoreh*, February 29, 2024, https://english.hani.co.kr/arti/english_edition/e_national/1130432.html. To put this in perspective, the 2024 budget’s top four items were Ministry of Health and Welfare (KRW 122.4 trillion, 24.4 percent of the total), Ministry of Education (KRW 95.8 trillion, 19.1 percent), Ministry of the Interior and Safety (KRW 72.4 trillion, 14.5 percent), and Ministry of National Defense (KRW 43.4 trillion, 8.7 percent). By comparison, KRW 280.0 trillion would represent roughly 55.9 percent of the full KRW 500.9 trillion budget. See National Assembly Budget Office, “Deliberation Results of the 2024 Budget Bill,” January 12, 2024, <https://korea.nabo.go.kr/naboEng/bbs/BMSR00154/view.do?boardId=3250&menuNo=17700026>.

¹³ In February 2025, Statistics Korea announced the total births rose 3.6 percent in 2024 from the year prior, but the overall birthrate still lags at 0.75. Han-joo Kim, “Childbirths in S. Korea Rebound for 1st Time in 9 Yrs in 2024 on Post-Pandemic Weddings,” *Yonhap News Agency*, February 26, 2025, <https://en.yna.co.kr/view/AEN20250226003500320>.

¹⁴ Peter B. Evans, *Embedded Autonomy: States and Industrial Transformation* (Princeton University Press, 1995).

¹⁵ David E. Bloom, David Canning, and Jaypee Sevilla, *The Demographic Dividend: A New Perspective on the Economic Consequences of Population Change* (RAND Corporation, 2003).

- ¹⁶ The modern environmental concern over “too many people” was galvanized by Paul R. Ehrlich’s *The Population Bomb* (1968), which—despite being roundly criticized for its alarmist projections and failure to anticipate the demographic transition—brought urgent public attention to resource scarcity and ecological limits. In so doing, Ehrlich updated the core tenets of Thomas Malthus’s *An Essay on the Principle of Population* (1798) by shifting the focus from purely economic subsistence arguments to the broader environmental consequences of unchecked population growth. See Paul R. Ehrlich, *The Population Bomb* (Ballantine Books, 1968); Thomas Malthus, “An Essay on the Principle of Population, as It Affects the Future Improvement of Society with Remarks on the Speculations of Mr. Godwin, M. Condorcet, and Other Writers,” in *Charity and Philanthropy in Nineteenth-Century Britain*, ed. Kevin A. Morrison (Routledge, 2025).
- ¹⁷ D. E. Bloom and J. G. Williamson, “Demographic Transitions and Economic Miracles in Emerging Asia,” *The World Bank Economic Review* 12, no. 3 (September 1, 1998): 419–55, <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/12.3.419>.
- ¹⁸ Andrew Mason and Tomoko Kinugasa, “East Asian Economic Development: Two Demographic Dividends,” *Journal of Asian Economics* 19, no. 5–6 (November 2008): 389–99, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asieco.2008.09.006>.
- ¹⁹ Gee Hee Hong and Todd Schneider, “Shrinkanomics: Policy Lessons from Japan,” International Monetary Fund, March 2020, <https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/fandd/issues/2020/03/shrinkanomics-policy-lessons-from-japan-on-population-aging-schneider>.
- ²⁰ Ho-jeong Lee, “Nation Set to Inherit Mantle of ‘Old Man of Asia,’” *Joongang Ilbo*, June 25, 2012, <https://koreajoongangdaily.joins.com/2012/06/25/economy/Nation-set-to-inherit-mantle-of-old-man-of-Asia/2955057.html>.
- ²¹ Dongweon Lee et al., “Assessment and Outlook on Labor Supply Trends in Korea: Labor Force Participation of the Elderly Population,” *Bank of Korea Korea Economic Outlook* Chapter 3 (May 2023): 65–106, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4725426>.
- ²² Lee et al., 94.
- ²³ Lee et al., 72.
- ²⁴ Lee et al., 72.
- ²⁵ Daron Acemoglu, Nicolaj Søndergaard Mühlbach, and Andrew J. Scott, “The Rise of Age-Friendly Jobs,” *The Journal of the Economics of Ageing* 23 (October 2022): 100416, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jeoa.2022.100416>.
- ²⁶ Lee et al., “Assessment and Outlook on Labor Supply Trends in Korea,” 79.
- ²⁷ Hyunju Kang, “인구구조 변화가 장기 거시경제 추세에 미치는 영향 [The Impact of Demographic Changes on Long-Term Macroeconomic Prospects]” (April 25, 2024), <https://www.ssrn.com/abstract=4806800>.
- ²⁸ Kee Whan Kim and Oh Seok Kim, “Super Aging in South Korea Unstoppable but Mitigatable: A Sub-National Scale Population Projection for Best Policy Planning,” *Spatial Demography* 8, no. 2 (July 2020): 164, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40980-020-00061-8>.
- ²⁹ Kim and Kim, 163.
- ³⁰ Kim and Kim, “Super Aging in South Korea Unstoppable but Mitigatable,” 166.
- ³¹ Kim and Kim, 168.
- ³² Wan-Soo Kim, Won-Suk Lee, and Yong-Joo Kim, “A Review of the Applications of the Internet of Things (IoT) for Agricultural Automation,” *Journal of Biosystems Engineering* 45, no. 4 (2020): 385–400, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42853-020-00078-3>.
- ³³ Ministry of Culture, Sports and Tourism, Office of Public Communication, “Goryeong Nongyeobin-eul Wihaan ‘Nongji Iyang Euntoe Jikbulsaeop’ [Retirement Direct Payment Project for Farmland Transfer for Elderly Farmers],” Republic of Korea Policy Briefing, May 10, 2024, <https://www.korea.kr/multi/visualNewsView.do?newsId=148928627>.
- ³⁴ Jeong Ryu and Jeong-gu Lee, “2차 베이비부머 은퇴... 산업현장 베테랑 740만명 떠난다 [Second Baby Boomers Retire... 7.4 Million Veteran Workers Leave Industrial Sites],” *Chosun Ilbo*, May 27, 2024, <https://www.chosun.com/economy/industry-company/2024/05/28/RDZYTSAEK5GRVBNZHXH3CLMZXE/>; Lee et al., “Assessment and Outlook on Labor Supply Trends in Korea,” 71, 79.
- ³⁵ Lee et al., 71, 78.

- ³⁶ Lee et al., 72.
- ³⁷ Lee et al., 85.
- ³⁸ Chulhee Lee and Jieun Lee, “인구고령화가 노동수급에 미치는 영향 [The Outlook and Challenges of Population Aging and Labor Market],” *Bank of Korea Economic Review* 23, no. 4 (2017): 2, <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3055586>.
- ³⁹ Jee-hee Kim, “The Robots Are Rising Faster in Korea than Elsewhere,” *Joongang Ilbo*, January 27, 2021, <https://koreajoongangdaily.joins.com/2021/01/27/business/economy/robot-robot-density-industrial-robot/20210127192306995.html>.
- ⁴⁰ Kenji Kushida, “Japan’s Aging Society as a Technological Opportunity,” Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2024, <https://carnegieendowment.org/projects/protecting-financial-stability/fincyber-strategy-project-cybersecurity-and-financial-inclusion?lang=en>.
- ⁴¹ Suk Kyung Kim, “Senior-Friendly Industries in South Korea Today and Future Policy Direction,” KIET Monthly Industrial Economy (Korea Institute for Industrial Economics and Trade, July 31, 2024), https://www.kiet.re.kr/en/pub/economyDetailView?detail_no=2960.
- ⁴² Daron Acemoglu and Pascual Restrepo, “Automation and New Tasks: How Technology Displaces and Reinstates Labor,” *Journal of Economic Perspectives* 33, no. 2 (May 1, 2019): 3–30, <https://doi.org/10.1257/jep.33.2.3>.
- ⁴³ Kang, “인구구조 변화가 장기 거시경제 추세에 미치는 영향 [The Impact of Demographic Changes on Long-Term Macroeconomic Prospects],” 15.
- ⁴⁴ Hong Sun Song et al., “Koreas Asset Management Industry: Long-Term Outlook and Challenges Ahead,” (Korea Capital Market Institute, January 29, 2014), 18, https://www.kcmi.re.kr/en/report/report_view?report_no=297.
- ⁴⁵ Heechul Jung, Minki Kim, and Jaechil Kim, “Aging, Household Wealth, and Consumption (I): The Impact of Population Aging on Household Asset Composition,” (Korea Capital Market Institute, February 10, 2025), 34–36, https://www.kcmi.re.kr/en/report/report_view?report_no=1821.
- ⁴⁶ Thomas J. Healey and Catherine M. Reilly, “Global Pensions Underfunding,” M-RCBG Associate Working Paper Series (Harvard Kennedy School, April 2015), <https://www.hks.harvard.edu/centers/mrcbg/publications/awp/awp39>; *Pensions at a Glance 2023: OECD and G20 Indicators* (OECD, 2023), <https://doi.org/10.1787/678055dd-en>.
- ⁴⁷ Jung, Kim, and Kim, 37–39.
- ⁴⁸ Seunghye Lee, “Assessing Old-Age Poverty with Income and Assets: Generational Insights and Policy Directions,” Korea Development Institute, September 25, 2023, https://www.kdi.re.kr/eng/research/focusView?pub_no=18121.
- ⁴⁹ Ji-hyoung Son, “Assembly Passes Bill for First Hike in Pension Payments in 28 Years,” *The Korea Herald*, March 20, 2025, <https://www.koreaherald.com/article/10446290>; Kim Na-young, “S. Korea’s Rare Pension Reform to Delay Fund Depletion by 9 Yrs by ‘Paying More, Receiving More,’” *Yonhap News Agency*, March 20, 2025, <https://en.yna.co.kr/view/AEN20250320009300320>.
- ⁵⁰ Ji-hyoung Son, “Assembly Passes Bill for First Hike in Pension Payments in 28 Years,” *The Korea Herald*, March 20, 2025, <https://www.koreaherald.com/article/10446290>.
- ⁵¹ Lee et al., “Assessment and Outlook on Labor Supply Trends in Korea,” 79–80.
- ⁵² Kang, “인구구조 변화가 장기 거시경제 추세에 미치는 영향 [The Impact of Demographic Changes on Long-Term Macroeconomic Prospects],” 15.
- ⁵³ Claudio Rosmino, “Japan’s Technology Leads the Way in Caring for the Elderly,” *Euronews*, October 29, 2019, <https://www.euronews.com/next/2019/10/29/japan-leads-the-way-with-elderly-care>.
- ⁵⁴ Gahyeon Cheon and Sora Lee, “노동력 고령화가 기업의 디지털 전환에 미치는 영향 분석 [Analysis of the Impact of Workforce Aging on Corporate Digital Transformation],” *Monthly KIET Industrial Economy*, February 2025, <https://www.kiet.re.kr/research/economy>.
- ⁵⁵ Ministry of SMEs and Startups, “Number of Korean SMEs and Employees by Year, as of 2021,” 2021, <https://www.mss.go.kr/site/eng/contents/view.do?menuCd=20204000000002019110624&siteCd=eng>.

⁵⁶ Ministry of SMEs and Startups, “MSS Held an MOU Signing Ceremony to Establish Smart Factories for Mutual Growth Led by the Private Sector and Joined by the Government,” March 27, 2024, <https://www.mss.go.kr/site/eng/ex/bbs/View.do?cbldx=244&bcldx=1049197>.

⁵⁷ Jeong-Seon Lee and Kyung Ju Kang, “Korea’s Smaller Firms Embrace Older, Experienced Workforce,” *Korea Economic Daily*, August 28, 2023, <https://www.kedglobal.com/economy/newsView/ked202308230018>.

⁵⁸ Ministry of Science and ICT, *2021 Report on the Digital Divide* (December 2021).

⁵⁹ Andreas Bergh, “Explaining the Survival of the Swedish Welfare State: Maintaining Political Support through Incremental Change,” *Financial Theory and Practice* 32, no. 3 (2008): 233–54; Torben M Andersen, “Pensions and the Nordic Welfare Model,” CESifo Working Paper (Munich: Munich Society for the Promotion of Economic Research, March 2023).

⁶⁰ “Foreign Caregiver Program a Perk for Rich Gangnam Parents; Will Lowering Wages Solve Everything,” *The Korea Times*, August 28, 2024, https://www.koreatimes.co.kr/www/nation/2025/03/281_381306.html.